

fight against terror. Ideally, I would not need to criticize this legislation, but we owe it to the American taxpayers to inform them of how their money is being spent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alaska.

Mr. STEVENS. If my friend from Hawaii has no further comment to make, I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second? There is a sufficient second.

The question is on agreeing to the conference report. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

The result was announced—yeas 100, nays 0, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 261 Leg.]

YEAS—100

Akaka	Domenici	McConnell
Alexander	Dorgan	Menendez
Allard	Durbin	Mikulski
Allen	Ensign	Murkowski
Baucus	Enzi	Murray
Bayh	Feingold	Nelson (FL)
Bennett	Feinstein	Nelson (NE)
Biden	Frist	Obama
Bingaman	Graham	Pryor
Bond	Grassley	Reed
Boxer	Gregg	Reid
Brownback	Hagel	Roberts
Bunning	Harkin	Rockefeller
Burns	Hatch	Salazar
Burr	Hutchison	Santorum
Byrd	Inhofe	Sarbanes
Cantwell	Inouye	Schumer
Carper	Isakson	Sessions
Chafee	Jeffords	Shelby
Chambliss	Johnson	Smith
Clinton	Kennedy	Snowe
Coburn	Kerry	Specter
Cochran	Kohl	Stabenow
Coleman	Kyl	Stevens
Collins	Landrieu	Sununu
Conrad	Lautenberg	Talent
Cornyn	Leahy	Thomas
Craig	Levin	Thune
Crapo	Lieberman	Vitter
Dayton	Lincoln	Voinovich
DeMint	Lott	Warner
DeWine	Lugar	Wyden
Dodd	Martinez	
Dole	McCain	

The conference report was agreed to.

Mr. STEVENS. I move to reconsider the vote, and I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, again, I thank the 2 people primarily responsible for the bill being so well put together, Sid Ashworth and Charlie Houy, respective assistants for Senator INOUE and me. It has been a good period dealing with this bill. This is the largest bill we have ever provided for the Department of Defense.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader.

Order of Business

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent there now be a period of morning business until 12 noon with the time equally divided between the two leaders or their designees, the time count under rule XXII, and the following Senators be recognized in the following order: Senator BYRD, for up

to 20 minutes; Senator SANTORUM, for up to 20 minutes; Senator FEINSTEIN, 15 minutes; Senator DEMINT, for up to 10 minutes; and 20 minutes under the control of Senator FRIST.

Ms. LANDRIEU. Reserving the right to object, could I ask the distinguished majority leader if he could add me to the list as the last person for 10 minutes?

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I will modify the unanimous consent to Senators BYRD, 20 minutes; SANTORUM, 20 minutes; FEINSTEIN, 15 minutes; DEMINT, 10 minutes; 20 minutes, ENZI, not FRIST.

I am going back to my original unanimous consent request because I have too many Members wanting to talk. What we are doing, just for the information of our colleagues, is to lay out just morning business. We might even be able to extend morning business until the Democratic leader and I plan out the remainder of the day.

Now, as soon as I do the unanimous consent, we have a lot of Members who want to talk. We will not cut anyone off, but Members have been waiting—including Senator BYRD—since last night, and I want to be able to recognize them.

Ms. LANDRIEU. I do object, I want to be cooperative.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The objection is heard.

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that there now be a period of morning business until 12:45, with the time equally divided between the two leaders or their designees, and further that the time count under rule XXII, and that the following Senators be recognized in the following order: BYRD, 20 minutes; SANTORUM, 20 minutes; FEINSTEIN, 15 minutes; DEMINT, 10 minutes; ENZI, 20 minutes; LANDRIEU, 10 minutes; BOXER, 10 minutes; and CRAIG, 10 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Hearing none, it is so ordered.

The Senator from West Virginia.

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, I thank Senator FRIST and Senator REID and all other Senators.

APPROPRIATIONS

Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, there are only 2 days—2 days—remaining in the fiscal year, and the Senate has passed only 2—only 2—of the 12 appropriations bills. The Senate just adopted a con-

tinuing resolution to continue the operations of Government for 14 of the 15 Departments.

This dismal performance is not the result of the work of the Appropriations Committee. The Appropriations Committee did its work and, on a bipartisan basis, reported all 12—all 12—of its bills by July 26. Chairman COCHRAN did an outstanding job, a remarkable job in leading the Appropriations Committee.

Yes, the Appropriations Committee did its work, did it well. Yet, here we are, just 2 days—2 days—away from the new fiscal year, and not one—not one—appropriations bill has been signed into law. And as everyone knows, the most vital bills that have to be done before we go home are the appropriations bills or the Government will stop running. Only two are likely to be sent to the President before the majority leader recesses the Senate for the elections.

The appropriations process has once again fallen victim to politics. The majority leadership designated September national security month. As a result, conferees have completed actions on the Defense bill and on the Homeland Security conference report. These are good, bipartisan bills. But not one other appropriations bill has come before this body, the Senate of the United States.

When it comes to the funding bills for domestic agencies, with the exception of Homeland Security, the majority leadership is apparently satisfied with a mindless continuing resolution. When it comes to the education of our children, when it comes to the health of the elderly, when it comes to the ability of our deteriorating infrastructure to sustain a growing economy, and the fiscal health of our farms, the majority leadership wants no debate—no debate—no debate—just a rubberstamp of a formula-based continuing resolution for 13 of the 15 Departments.

The majority leadership made a specific choice to delay bringing the domestic appropriations bills to the floor because it wished to avoid an open debate in the Senate—in this forum, where debate is free and open and one may speak as long as his or her feet will sustain him or her—it wished to avoid an open debate in the Senate about the many issues confronting Americans in their daily lives. That is what we are talking about.

The President submitted a budget for domestic programs that cut funding by \$14 billion below the level necessary to keep pace with inflation. The President's proposal to increase fees on our veterans for their health care is indefensible. The White House proposed cuts in education, cuts in programs to fight crime. The President's budget is not sustainable. Yet, once more behind closed doors, the majority leadership inserted a cap on spending at the level proposed by the President's budget. This was done by jamming a cap on spending in an unamendable conference